



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 5

MEMORANDUM

DATE: August 26, 2009
SUBJECT: Determination of Need for an Investigation
Facility Name: Village of Loudonville-Maintenance Department
EPA ID #: OHD 987 020 724
FROM: Erin K. Jones
Erin K. Jones, Environmental Protection Specialist
TO: George Hamper, Chief, Corrective Action Section 2

I recommend the following determination regarding the need for an investigation:

☒ **CA070NO Determination of Need for an Investigation-Investigation is not Necessary**

Reason for Determination

- ☐ Preliminary Assessment/Visual Site Inspection (PA/VSI) did not recommend any further investigation
- ☐ PA/VSI recommendations do not warrant RRB attention
- ☐ Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) did not recommend further investigation
- ☐ Phase 2 ESA did not recommend further investigation
- ☐ Phase 1/Phase 2 ESA recommendations do not warrant RRB attention
- ☒ Company representative asserts that the site is clean
- ☐ Not subject to corrective action
- ☐ Enrolled in other clean-up program
- ☐ PA/VSI recommendations have been implemented
- ☐ Removal
- ☐ Enrolled Voluntary Remediation Program
- ☐ Completed Voluntary Remediation Program
- ☐ Superfund
- ☐ Superfund No Further Action Decision
- ☐ Superfund Base Relocation and Closure
- ☐ Other

☐ **CA070YE Determination of Need for an Investigation – Investigation is Necessary**

Reason for Determination

- ☐ PA/VSI recommends further investigation
- ☐ ESA recommends further investigation
- ☐ Other

☐ **No determination can be made – More Information Needed**

☒ **Approved**

☐ **Not Approved**

Signed: George Hamper

Date: SEP 30 2009

Determination Date: August 26, 2009

Determination: Company representative asserts that the site is clean

Facility Contact Form (No PA/VSI)

Facility Name: Village of Loudonville-Maintenance Department

EPA ID#: OHD 987 020 724 Address: East Wally Road

City: Loudonville State: OH

Units Closed: X01 Date: October 11, 1995

Facility Representative: Roy Wilson Phone# 419-994-4630

Email Address: _____

Date of phone conversation: August 26, 2009

This was never a facility so to speak. It was an area where the village put large yard waste and storm damage to burn. The contamination occurred as a result of the accelerant that was used to start the fire.

Roy was part of the clean up operation and mentioned that in 1994-1995 when the Ohio EPA came out to evaluate closure activities the inspector asked why they had dug up so much of the site. Roy said that the boss who had instructed the burn with the hazardous accelerant had them dig 2 or 3 times as much of the ground up, both deeper and wider, to ensure that the contaminated area was cleaned beyond standard. The closure was a clean and haul operation.

Y / ☒ Is there known soil or groundwater contamination?

Contaminants:

Y / ☒ Has the parcel been split or was there a change in ownership?

Y / ☒ / ? Was a Phase 1 or Phase 2 report prepared in connection with a sale of the property?

Y / ☒ Can we have a copy?

☒ / N Is the facility currently operating?

This is currently a parking lot adjacent to a community park. The parking lot is not paved with asphalt but is a loose compacted stone.

- When was the plant built? N/A

- What products are/were made?

N/A

- What chemicals were used in the process?

-Ingredients:

-Solvents for cleaning products: No